



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,999	02/06/2002	Bernard Aspar	034299-382	3061

7590 07/30/2003

Robert E.Krebs  
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP  
PO Box 640640  
San Jose, CA 95164-0640

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

RICHARDS, N DREW

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2815

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/071,999	ASPAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	N. Drew Richards	2815	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 20-36 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 20-23, 26, 28-32 and 34-36 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 24, 25, 27 and 33 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 26 June 2003 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

- 1) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/413483.
- 3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Drawings*

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 6/26/03 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 20-23, 26, 28-32 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,369,042).

Morris et al. disclose in figure 2e a thin layer integral with a support, the thin layer being of a semiconductive material made insulating by ion implantation except for at least one zone that allows a vertical electrical connection through the entire thickness of the thin layer electrically connecting the support to a face of the thin layer opposite to the support. Thin layer 52,64 is semiconductive and portions 118 are made insulating by implanting oxygen such that the central portion remains as a vertical electrical connection through the entire thickness of the thin layer. The thin layer is integral with

support 50 and electrically connects the support 50 to a face of the thin layer 52,64 opposite to the support.

With regard to claim 21, the thin layer comprises a multitude of zones 52,64 distributed over the entire surface.

With regard to claim 22, the thin layer comprises one zone to constitute at least one conductive path.

With regard to claim 23, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through an intermediate conductive surface (bottom surface of layers 52,64).

With regard to claim 26, the bottom surface of layers 52,64 are considered conductive bonding materials as they are conductive and they bond the bulk of layers 52,64 to support 50. The limitation of deposition is a product by process limitation that is not structurally distinguishing over the prior art.

With regard to claim 28, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through the use of a brazing material (bottom surface of layers 52,64).

With regard to claim 29, the brazing material is based on indium as layers 52,64 are disclosed as being such materials as InGaAs, InP, or GaInP.

With regard to claim 30, the material of the thin layer is GaAs.

With regard to claim 31, the support is disclosed as being silicon as on column 6 lines 15-20, Morris et al. disclose that the device may be a silicon device and would therefore be formed upon a silicon substrate.

With regard to claim 32, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through an intermediate conductive interface (the interface between conductive material 52,64 and support 50).

With regard to claim 34, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through the use of a brazing material (bottom surface of layers 52,64).

With regard to claim 35, the material of the thin layer is GaAs.

With regard to claim 36, the support is disclosed as being silicon as on column 6 lines 15-20, Morris et al. disclose that the device may be a silicon device and would therefore be formed upon a silicon substrate.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 24, 25, 27, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the thin layer of Morris is not integral with the support and does not electrically connect the support to a face of the thin layer. This is not persuasive as the thin layer 52,64 is epitaxially grown on the support 50 and thus shares a common edge such that the thin layer is integral with the support. Also, as the thin layer contains conductive zones 52,64 an electrical connection is provided from the

support 50 to the opposite surface of the thin layer. Thus, the rejections are considered proper.

### ***Conclusion***

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (703) 306-5946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30; Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

  
NDR  
July 28, 2003

  
GEORGE ECKERT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER